

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Apr 22, 2025 – 10:10 AM JST

PDB ID : 8ZD7 / pdb 00008zd7

BMRB ID : 36663

Title : NMR structure of the CGG-dsDNA-sND complex

Authors: Sakurabayashi, S.; Furuita, K.; Yamada, T.; Nomura, M.; Nakatani, K.; Ko-

jima, C.

Deposited on : 2024-05-01

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp

with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)

buster-report : 1.1.7 (2018)

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.42

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 35%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain						
1	1	11	64%	36%					
2	2	11	73%	27%					



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 30 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 3 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 753 atoms, of which 274 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.


• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(*CP*TP*AP*AP*CP*GP*GP*AP*AP*TP* G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues			Atom	S			Trace
1	1	11	Total	С	Н	N	О	Р	0
1	1	11	350	108	125	45	62	10	U

• Molecule 2 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(*CP*AP*TP*TP*CP*GP*GP*TP*TP*AP*G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		_	Atom	S			Trace
9	9	11	Total	С	Н	N	О	Р	0
2	2	11	350	108	127	39	66	10	U

• Molecule 3 is $\{N\}$ -(7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridin-2-yl)-2-[[2-[(7-methyl-1,8-naphthyridin-2-yl) amino]-2-oxidanylidene-ethyl]amino]ethanamide (CCD ID: A1L1R) (formula: $C_{22}H_{21}N_7O_2$) (labeled as "Ligand of Interest" by depositor).



	Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				
	3 2	1	Total	С	Н	N	О	
		2	1	53	22	22	7	2




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*TP*AP*AP*CP*GP*GP*AP*AP*TP*G)-3')



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*TP*AP*AP*CP*GP*GP*AP*AP*TP*G)-3')

• Molecule 1. DNA (5-D(Cl 11 Al Al Cl Gl Gl Al Al II G)-3)

Chain 1: 64% 36%

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(*CP*AP*TP*TP*CP*GP*GP*TP*TP*AP*G)-3')

Chain 2: 64% 36%



Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 150 calculated structures, 30 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure calculation	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	170
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	170
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	35%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: A1L1R

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	1	225	125	125	4±1
2	2	223	127	127	3±1
All	All	14370	8220	7560	210

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

5 of 28 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:1:5:DC:H2"	1:1:6:DG:C8	0.90	2.01	30	29	
1:1:5:DC:H2"	1:1:6:DG:N7	0.62	2.08	25	6	
2:2:19:DT:C6	2:2:20:DT:H72	0.57	2.35	21	12	
1:1:9:DA:C2'	1:1:10:DT:H72	0.55	2.31	5	29	
2:2:18:DG:H2"	2:2:19:DT:OP2	0.54	2.03	19	30	



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Type	Chain	Pos	Link	Bond lengths		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
3	A1L1R	2	101	_	34,34,34	0.82 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard



deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

1	.1	Type	Chain	Dec	Tiple	Bond angles Counts RMSZ #Z>2			
IVIC)1	туре	Chain	Res Lin	s Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2	
3		A1L1R	2	101	-	45,47,47	1.38 ± 0.01	4±1 (9±1%)	

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
3	A1L1R	2	101	-	-	$0\pm0,14,14,14$	$0\pm0,4,4,4$

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 6 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Mol Chain Res Type Atoms	\mathbf{Z}	$Observed(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models				
MIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(')	Ideal(*)	Worst	Total
3	2	101	A1L1R	C19-C17-C18	2.78	119.88	117.75	1	30
3	2	101	A1L1R	C04-C05-C06	2.73	119.84	117.75	30	30
3	2	101	A1L1R	C07-C05-C06	2.69	119.81	117.75	16	30
3	2	101	A1L1R	C16-C17-C18	2.63	119.76	117.75	16	30
3	2	101	A1L1R	C21-N07-C18	2.07	119.80	117.71	11	9


There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

The following is a two-dimensional graphical depiction of Mogul quality analysis of bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and ring geometry for all instances of the Ligand of Interest. In addition, ligands with molecular weight > 250 and outliers as shown on the validation Tables will also be included. For torsion angles, if less then 5% of the Mogul distribution of torsion angles is within 10 degrees of the torsion angle in question, then that torsion angle is considered an outlier. Any bond that is central to one or more torsion angles identified as an outlier by Mogul will be highlighted in the graph. For rings, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the ring in question and similar rings identified by Mogul is calculated over all ring torsion angles. If the average RMSD is greater than 60 degrees and the minimal RMSD between the ring in question and any Mogul-identified rings is also greater than 60 degrees, then that ring is considered an outlier. The outliers are highlighted in purple. The color gray indicates Mogul did not find sufficient equivalents in the CSD to analyse the geometry.





6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 35% for the well-defined parts and 35% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: chem_shift_list_ID

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	170
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	170
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 35%, i.e. 152 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 434. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Sugar	107/264 (41%)	107/154~(69%)	0/110 (0%)	0/0 (%)
Base	45/170~(26%)	45/104~(43%)	0/38~(0%)	0/28~(0%)
Overall	152/434 (35%)	152/258 (59%)	0/148 (0%)	0/28 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-



taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	1	5	DC	H2'	0.70	0.83 - 3.26	-5.5

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	78
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	35
Sequential (i-j =1)	43
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	0
Long range (i-j ≥5)	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	82
Number of unmapped restraints	16
Number of restraints per residue	7.0
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	2.2	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	4.8	0.43
>0.5 (Large)	1.0	0.87



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	$\operatorname{Max}(^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	14.9	4.79
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Doctroints type	Count	% ¹	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tently	$\overline{ m Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	35	44.9	5	14.3	6.4	4	11.4	5.1
Backbone-Backbone	7	9.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	26	33.3	5	19.2	6.4	4	15.4	5.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	2.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	43	55.1	6	14.0	7.7	3	7.0	3.8
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	28	35.9	3	10.7	3.8	2	7.1	2.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	15	19.2	3	20.0	3.8	1	6.7	1.3
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	78	100.0	11	14.1	14.1	7	9.0	9.0
Backbone-Backbone	7	9.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	54	69.2	8	14.8	10.3	6	11.1	7.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	17	21.8	3	17.6	3.8	1	5.9	1.3

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.33	0.8	0.19	0.3
2	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.32	0.82	0.21	0.29
3	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.32	0.71	0.17	0.28
4	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.32	0.64	0.15	0.34
5	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.31	0.67	0.15	0.27
6	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.32	0.87	0.22	0.25
7	4	3	0	0	0	7	0.35	0.79	0.2	0.35
8	4	3	0	0	0	7	0.35	0.83	0.21	0.32
9	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.35	0.76	0.18	0.35
10	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.76	0.18	0.3

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	;	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6 \ (m \AA)$	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
11	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.68	0.16	0.34
12	4	3	0	0	0	7	0.36	0.78	0.19	0.31
13	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.3	0.67	0.15	0.27
14	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.33	0.79	0.19	0.27
15	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.33	0.69	0.16	0.29
16	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.81	0.19	0.32
17	5	4	0	0	0	9	0.31	0.71	0.17	0.3
18	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.32	0.79	0.2	0.29
19	4	3	0	0	0	7	0.36	0.81	0.2	0.3
20	4	3	0	0	0	7	0.34	0.81	0.21	0.31
21	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.75	0.18	0.3
22	4	5	0	0	0	9	0.3	0.7	0.16	0.24
23	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.33	0.68	0.16	0.33
24	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.36	0.78	0.18	0.34
25	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.87	0.22	0.29
26	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.32	0.81	0.21	0.28
27	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.32	0.77	0.2	0.29
28	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.34	0.74	0.17	0.34
29	4	4	0	0	0	8	0.35	0.83	0.2	0.32
30	4	6	0	0	0	10	0.28	0.72	0.18	0.24

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation



9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 67(IR:30, SQ:37, MR:0, LR:0, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Count ⁶	%
1	1	0	0	0	2	1	3.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	16.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	20.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

	,	$\frac{\mathbf{of vio}}{\mathbf{of vio}}$		Fraction	n of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	$ m MR^3$	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	23.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	26.7
0	1	0	0	0	1	9	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	33.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	36.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	43.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	46.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	53.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	56.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	63.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	66.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	21	70.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	22	73.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	23	76.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	24	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	25	83.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	26	86.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	27	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	28	93.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	29	96.7
4	3	0	0	0	7	30	100.0

 $^{^1{\}rm Intra-residue}$ restraints, $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints, $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints, $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints, $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	30	0.76	0.06	0.78
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	30	0.76	0.06	0.78
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	30	0.76	0.06	0.78
(1,47)	1:6:1:DG:H2"	1:6:1:DG:H8	30	0.38	0.01	0.38
(1,64)	1:9:1:DA:H2"	1:9:1:DA:H8	30	0.37	0.02	0.37
(1,56)	1:8:1:DA:H2"	1:8:1:DA:H8	30	0.31	0.02	0.31
(1,37)	1:4:1:DA:H2"	1:4:1:DA:H8	30	0.25	0.01	0.25
(1,27)	1:4:1:DA:H8	1:5:1:DC:H6	30	0.19	0.03	0.18
(1,68)	1:10:1:DT:H6	1:9:1:DA:H3'	30	0.14	0.02	0.14
(3,6)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H8	22	0.28	0.09	0.29
(3,6)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H8	22	0.28	0.09	0.29
(3,6)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H8	22	0.28	0.09	0.29
(1,40)	1:6:1:DG:H1	1:5:1:DC:H41	9	0.14	0.03	0.14


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation



9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	6	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	6	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	6	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	25	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	25	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	25	0.87
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	8	0.83
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	8	0.83
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	8	0.83
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	29	0.83
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	29	0.83

<u>Continued</u> on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	29	0.83
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	2	0.82
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	2	0.82
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	2	0.82
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	16	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	16	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	16	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	19	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	19	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	19	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	20	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	20	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	20	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	26	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H72	1:9:1:DA:H1'	26	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H73	1:9:1:DA:H1'	26	0.81
(3,5)	1:10:1:DT:H71	1:9:1:DA:H1'	1	0.8



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)


The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	olated	$\lfloor 3 \rfloor$	Consis	tently	$^{\prime} ext{ Violated}^4$
Angle type		/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
EPSILON	8	9.8	1	12.5	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
GAMMA	8	9.8	4	50.0	4.9	0	0.0	0.0
ALPHA	6	7.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	10	12.2	7	70.0	8.5	3	30.0	3.7
DELTA	9	11.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	7	8.5	4	57.1	4.9	1	14.3	1.2
UNNAMED	18	22.0	8	44.4	9.8	6	33.3	7.3
NU2	9	11.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	7	8.5	5	71.4	6.1	0	0.0	0.0
Total	82	100.0	29	35.4	35.4	10	12.2	12.2

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

N. 1.1.TD				Nι	ımber of v	riolations					3.5 (0)	3.5 (0)	GD (0)	3.6 11
Model ID	EPSILON	GAMMA	ALPHA	CHI	DELTA	ZETA	UNNAMED	NU2	BETA	Total	Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Media
1	0	0	0	3	0	2	7	0	1	13	2.48	4.35	0.92	2.3
2	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.37	4.21	1.01	2.0
3	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.37	4.27	0.98	2.0
4	0	0	0	5	0	2	7	0	1	15	2.15	3.97	0.94	2.0
5	0	0	0	6	0	2	6	0	0	14	2.21	4.24	1.07	2.0
6	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.67	4.62	1.11	2.4
7	0	0	0	4	0	2	6	0	1	13	2.16	3.95	1.01	1.8
8	0	0	0	3	0	2	7	0	1	13	2.44	3.98	0.86	2.5
9	0	0	0	3	0	2	7	0	1	13	2.49	4.27	0.95	2.
10	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	0	2	16	2.18	4.17	0.98	2.
11	0	0	0	5	0	2	7	0	1	15	2.08	4.26	1.0	1.'
12	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.31	4.11	0.9	2.3
13	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	3	16	2.46	4.6	1.11	2.3
14	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	0	1	15	2.32	4.35	1.0	2.1
15	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	0	1	15	2.1	4.42	1.02	1.8
16	0	1	0	4	0	4	8	0	2	19	2.02	4.21	1.03	1.'
17	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.32	4.47	1.01	2.0
18	0	1	0	3	0	3	7	0	2	16	2.2	4.23	0.96	2.0
19	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.22	3.97	0.95	2.
20	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	3	16	2.18	4.3	1.0	1.9
21	0	0	0	4	0	4	8	0	2	18	2.28	4.79	1.1	1.8
22	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	0	0	14	2.3	4.32	1.06	2.
23	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	2	15	2.24	4.32	0.99	1.9
24	0	0	0	4	0	3	7	0	2	16	2.28	4.18	1.1	2.0
25	0	1	0	4	0	2	8	0	2	17	2.2	4.17	1.07	2.
26	0	1	0	4	0	3	7	0	2	17	2.28	4.4	1.02	2.0
27	0	0	0	4	0	2	7	0	1	14	2.12	4.05	0.95	1.8
28	0	0	0	5	0	2	7	0	1	15	2.18	4.18	0.96	1.9


Continued on next



Continued from previous page...

	Model ID		Number of violations										Max (°)	SD (°)	Medi
		EPSILON	GAMMA	ALPHA	CHI	DELTA	ZETA	UNNAMED	NU2	BETA	Total	Mean (°)	Max ()	SD ()	Media
	29	0	1	0	3	0	2	7	0	2	15	2.27	4.01	1.01	1.
	30	1	1	0	4	0	2	7	0	2	17	2.38	4.6	1.12	2.

10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

	Number of violated restraints											
EPSILON	GAMMA	ALPHA	CHI	DELTA	ZETA	UNNAMED	NU2	BETA	Total	Count ¹	%	
1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	3.3	
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	6.7	
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	10.0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13.3	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	16.7	
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6	20.0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	23.3	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	26.7	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	30.0	

Continued on next p




 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$

,	Number of violated restraints												
EPSILON	GAMMA	ALPHA	CHI	DELTA	ZETA	UNNAMED	NU2	BETA	Total	Count ¹	%		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	33.3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	36.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	40.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	43.3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	46.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	50.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	53.3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	56.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	60.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	63.3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	66.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	70.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	73.3		
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	76.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	80.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	83.3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	86.7		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	90.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	28	93.3		
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	29	96.7		
0	0	0	3	0	1	6	0	0	10	30	100.0		

 $^{^{1}}$ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)






10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	30	4.16	0.23	4.16
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	30	4.16	0.22	4.14
(1,57)	1:4:1:DA:C1'	1:4:1:DA:O4'	1:4:1:DA:C4'	1:4:1:DA:C5'	30	3.61	0.32	3.59
(1,72)	1:11:1:DG:C1'	1:11:1:DG:O4'	1:11:1:DG:C4'	1:11:1:DG:C5'	30	2.68	0.22	2.7
(1,66)	1:9:1:DA:C1'	1:9:1:DA:O4'	1:9:1:DA:C4'	1:9:1:DA:C5'	30	2.65	0.3	2.62
(1,76)	1:4:1:DA:O4'	1:4:1:DA:C1'	1:4:1:DA:N9	1:4:1:DA:C4	30	2.1	0.2	2.06
(1,14)	1:9:1:DA:C3'	1:9:1:DA:O3'	1:10:1:DT:P	1:10:1:DT:O5'	30	2.09	0.21	2.08
(1,69)	1:10:1:DT:C1'	1:10:1:DT:O4'	1:10:1:DT:C4'	1:10:1:DT:C5'	30	1.7	0.3	1.74
(1,74)	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:N1	1:2:1:DT:C2	30	1.49	0.2	1.46
(1,75)	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:N9	1:3:1:DA:C4	30	1.23	0.16	1.21


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	21	4.79
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	6	4.62
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	13	4.6
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	30	4.6
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	13	4.55
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	21	4.52
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	17	4.47
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	15	4.42
(1,54)	1:3:1:DA:C1'	1:3:1:DA:O4'	1:3:1:DA:C4'	1:3:1:DA:C5'	26	4.4
(1,51)	1:2:1:DT:C1'	1:2:1:DT:O4'	1:2:1:DT:C4'	1:2:1:DT:C5'	17	4.36

