

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 22, 2025 – 09:36 AM EST

PDB ID : 8YAP BMRB ID : 36647

Title : Structure of human PALB2 coiled-coil domain

Authors : Reddy, P.P.; Das, R.

Deposited on : 2024-02-09

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.41.4

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 39%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$rac{ m NMR~archive}{ m (\#Entries)}$
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	37	24%	46%	5%	22%	•
1	В	37	22%	65%		• 8%	•



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mod					
1	A:14-A:41, B:9-B:41 (61)	1.18	8		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 6, 9
2	3, 8, 10
3	2, 4
4	5, 7



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1248 atoms, of which 654 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Partner and localizer of BRCA2.

Mol	Chain	Residues		${f Atoms}$					Trace
1	٨	36	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1 A	30	624	187	327	57	52	1	0	
1	D	36	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
	30	624	187	327	57	52	1	U	

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	42	TRP	-	expression tag	UNP Q86YC2
В	42	TRP	-	expression tag	UNP Q86YC2




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1






4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2




• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4





4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2




• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7






41%

Chain B:

4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)


• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2






4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Partner and localizer of BRCA2



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10





Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: DGSA-distance geometry simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	451
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	451
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	39%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	239	269	269	22±6
1	В	277	302	302	16±8
All	All	5160	5710	5710	286

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 26.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	$\operatorname{Clash}(\mathrm{\AA})$	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:28:TYR:CD1	1:B:24:LEU:HD23	1.02	1.90	9	8
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:B:28:TYR:CZ	1.01	1.89	10	1
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:B:28:TYR:CE1	0.83	2.08	10	1
1:A:35:LEU:HD12	1:A:35:LEU:O	0.77	1.77	5	10
1:A:32:LEU:C	1:A:32:LEU:HD23	0.75	2.01	9	2
1:A:14:LYS:HG2	1:B:35:LEU:HD21	0.74	1.56	6	1
1:B:32:LEU:HD13	1:B:32:LEU:C	0.74	2.03	9	3
1:B:9:LEU:HD22	1:B:13:GLU:HB3	0.73	1.58	6	1
1:B:9:LEU:HD22	1:B:13:GLU:CB	0.72	2.14	6	1
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:B:28:TYR:CE2	0.70	2.22	10	1
1:A:28:TYR:CZ	1:B:28:TYR:CE2	0.70	2.80	10	1
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:24:LEU:HD23	0.69	2.22	10	5
1:A:28:TYR:CZ	1:B:28:TYR:CZ	0.69	2.80	10	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	Mod	
		` ′	` ,	Worst	Total
1:B:35:LEU:HD13	1:B:35:LEU:O	0.67	1.88	5	4
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:A:28:TYR:CZ	0.67	2.24	4	6
1:B:32:LEU:O	1:B:32:LEU:HD13	0.67	1.90	2	1
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:28:TYR:CZ	0.67	2.83	10	1
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:A:28:TYR:CE1	0.65	2.26	1	4
1:A:40:ARG:O	1:A:41:ALA:HB3	0.63	1.94	10	3
1:A:24:LEU:O	1:A:28:TYR:CD1	0.63	2.52	4	5
1:A:21:LEU:HD11	1:B:28:TYR:CE2	0.62	2.29	4	5
1:A:14:LYS:CG	1:B:35:LEU:HD21	0.62	2.24	6	1
1:A:28:TYR:CE2	1:B:24:LEU:O	0.62	2.52	8	6
1:A:28:TYR:OH	1:B:28:TYR:CE1	0.62	2.52	10	1
1:A:24:LEU:HD23	1:A:28:TYR:OH	0.61	1.95	7	5
1:A:31:THR:CG2	1:B:21:LEU:HD21	0.58	2.28	10	2
1:A:36:GLN:OE1	1:A:40:ARG:NH2	0.58	2.36	3	1
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:28:TYR:CE2	0.58	2.91	10	1
1:A:35:LEU:HD11	1:A:39:GLN:OE1	0.57	2.00	7	1
1:B:10:SER:O	1:B:13:GLU:N	0.57	2.37	4	3
1:A:28:TYR:CE2	1:B:24:LEU:C	0.56	2.79	10	4
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:24:LEU:CD2	0.56	2.88	4	3
1:B:15:GLU:O	1:B:19:GLU:OE1	0.56	2.24	3	1
1:A:37:ARG:O	1:A:41:ALA:HB3	0.56	2.00	5	1
1:A:28:TYR:CD1	1:A:28:TYR:N	0.55	2.75	3	8
1:A:28:TYR:OH	1:B:24:LEU:O	0.55	2.24	10	4
1:A:15:GLU:O	1:A:19:GLU:OE2	0.55	2.24	9	1
1:B:32:LEU:O	1:B:32:LEU:CD1	0.55	2.55	2	1
1:B:32:LEU:CD1	1:B:32:LEU:C	0.54	2.76	2	1
1:A:27:GLU:O	1:A:31:THR:OG1	0.54	2.26	4	1
1:B:10:SER:O	1:B:12:GLU:N	0.54	2.41	5	2
1:B:32:LEU:C	1:B:32:LEU:CD1	0.54	2.76	9	2
1:A:24:LEU:CD2	1:B:28:TYR:CZ	0.54	2.80	10	1
1:B:32:LEU:HD13	1:B:32:LEU:O	0.52	2.04	9	2
1:A:28:TYR:CZ	1:B:24:LEU:O	0.52	2.62	8	2
1:A:28:TYR:CE2	1:B:28:TYR:CD2	0.52	2.97	10	1
1:A:36:GLN:O	1:A:40:ARG:N	0.52	2.42	8	1
1:A:32:LEU:C	1:A:32:LEU:CD2	0.52	2.74	9	1
1:B:32:LEU:HD11	1:B:36:GLN:OE1	0.51	2.05	5	1
1:B:16:LYS:O	1:B:20:LYS:CG	0.51	2.59	10	1
1:A:24:LEU:HB3	1:B:28:TYR:CE1	0.51	2.40	8	6
1:A:16:LYS:O	1:A:20:LYS:CG	0.51	2.59	8	3
1:A:24:LEU:CD2	1:B:28:TYR:CE1	0.50	2.88	10	1
1:A:21:LEU:HD23	1:A:22:ALA:N	0.50	2.21	9	1
-	1	1			



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
		Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:40:ARG:O	1:A:41:ALA:CB	0.50	2.60	10	1	
1:B:10:SER:C	1:B:12:GLU:N	0.49	2.66	5	1	
1:A:32:LEU:HD23	1:A:32:LEU:O	0.49	2.06	9	1	
1:A:21:LEU:CD1	1:B:28:TYR:CE2	0.49	2.96	6	1	
1:A:28:TYR:CD1	1:B:24:LEU:CD2	0.49	2.81	9	1	
1:A:21:LEU:HG	1:B:28:TYR:CZ	0.49	2.42	6	5	
1:A:28:TYR:CZ	1:B:24:LEU:HB3	0.49	2.43	3	6	
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:24:LEU:HG	0.48	2.43	5	2	
1:A:21:LEU:HD12	1:B:31:THR:HB	0.48	1.85	1	1	
1:A:40:ARG:CZ	1:A:40:ARG:HB2	0.48	2.38	4	1	
1:A:16:LYS:O	1:A:20:LYS:HG2	0.48	2.08	8	3	
1:A:24:LEU:CD2	1:A:28:TYR:OH	0.48	2.60	7	4	
1:A:28:TYR:CE2	1:B:24:LEU:HB3	0.47	2.44	9	3	
1:A:31:THR:HB	1:B:21:LEU:HD21	0.47	1.85	10	2	
1:A:25:LYS:HG2	1:A:26:ARG:N	0.47	2.23	9	4	
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:24:LEU:CG	0.47	2.97	4	2	
1:A:35:LEU:O	1:A:35:LEU:CD1	0.47	2.59	5	1	
1:A:20:LYS:O	1:A:23:PHE:N	0.47	2.48	8	2	
1:A:22:ALA:HA	1:A:25:LYS:NZ	0.47	2.25	4	2	
1:A:14:LYS:HG2	1:A:15:GLU:N	0.47	2.25	5	1	
1:A:22:ALA:O	1:A:25:LYS:HG2	0.47	2.10	2	5	
1:B:27:GLU:O	1:B:31:THR:OG1	0.47	2.33	2	1	
1:A:35:LEU:HD12	1:A:35:LEU:C	0.47	2.28	7	3	
1:A:31:THR:CB	1:B:21:LEU:HD21	0.47	2.40	10	2	
1:A:32:LEU:HB2	1:B:21:LEU:HD11	0.46	1.87	5	1	
1:A:22:ALA:HA	1:A:25:LYS:CD	0.46	2.41	6	1	
1:B:15:GLU:HG2	1:B:19:GLU:OE1	0.46	2.10	3	1	
1:B:22:ALA:O	1:B:26:ARG:HD2	0.46	2.10	4	1	
1:B:15:GLU:HG3	1:B:19:GLU:OE2	0.46	2.11	3	2	
1:A:22:ALA:O	1:A:25:LYS:HD3	0.45	2.11	1	3	
1:B:20:LYS:O	1:B:24:LEU:HD13	0.45	2.11	2	1	
1:B:30:LYS:O	1:B:33:ALA:HB3	0.45	2.12	6	1	
1:A:32:LEU:HD11	1:A:36:GLN:OE1	0.45	2.10	5	1	
1:B:13:GLU:HA	1:B:13:GLU:OE1	0.45	2.11	10	2	
1:B:23:PHE:C	1:B:27:GLU:OE1	0.45	2.54	2	1	
1:A:16:LYS:HB2	1:A:16:LYS:NZ	0.45	2.27	6	1	
1:B:12:GLU:CG	1:B:13:GLU:N	0.45	2.79	8	1	
1:A:34:ARG:O	1:A:38:ALA:N	0.44	2.50	6	3	
1:B:16:LYS:CB	1:B:16:LYS:NZ	0.44	2.80	9	1	
1:B:16:LYS:O	1:B:20:LYS:HG2	0.44	2.12	10	1	
1:A:22:ALA:O	1:A:25:LYS:CD	0.44	2.65	6	2	



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
		Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:B:36:GLN:O	1:B:39:GLN:N	0.44	2.50	2	1
1:A:16:LYS:CG	1:A:20:LYS:HE2	0.44	2.42	6	1
1:B:14:LYS:NZ	1:B:14:LYS:HB3	0.44	2.28	10	1
1:B:10:SER:O	1:B:11:CYS:C	0.44	2.54	4	3
1:B:28:TYR:N	1:B:28:TYR:CD1	0.44	2.85	10	1
1:B:15:GLU:O	1:B:19:GLU:CG	0.44	2.66	6	1
1:A:21:LEU:O	1:A:24:LEU:HB2	0.44	2.13	6	3
1:B:21:LEU:O	1:B:24:LEU:HB2	0.44	2.13	5	4
1:B:19:GLU:N	1:B:19:GLU:CD	0.43	2.70	2	1
1:A:31:THR:HG22	1:B:21:LEU:HD21	0.43	1.90	10	2
1:A:28:TYR:HA	1:A:31:THR:OG1	0.43	2.13	8	2
1:B:35:LEU:CD1	1:B:35:LEU:C	0.43	2.86	1	1
1:A:36:GLN:O	1:A:40:ARG:HG3	0.43	2.12	3	1
1:B:13:GLU:N	1:B:13:GLU:CD	0.43	2.67	7	1
1:B:22:ALA:HB1	1:B:26:ARG:NH1	0.43	2.29	3	1
1:A:20:LYS:N	1:A:20:LYS:HD3	0.43	2.27	6	1
1:A:26:ARG:O	1:A:30:LYS:HG3	0.43	2.14	4	1
1:B:12:GLU:HG2	1:B:13:GLU:N	0.43	2.29	8	1
1:B:24:LEU:HD12	1:B:24:LEU:N	0.43	2.28	6	1
1:B:33:ALA:O	1:B:37:ARG:N	0.43	2.51	6	1
1:A:18:LYS:HD3	1:A:18:LYS:C	0.42	2.34	1	1
1:A:19:GLU:O	1:A:22:ALA:HB3	0.42	2.14	5	2
1:A:25:LYS:CD	1:B:28:TYR:OH	0.42	2.67	4	1
1:A:35:LEU:CD2	1:B:21:LEU:HD22	0.42	2.44	9	1
1:A:16:LYS:O	1:A:20:LYS:CB	0.42	2.68	8	1
1:B:27:GLU:O	1:B:30:LYS:HB2	0.42	2.15	1	1
1:A:17:LEU:O	1:A:20:LYS:HB2	0.42	2.15	3	1
1:B:12:GLU:HG3	1:B:13:GLU:N	0.42	2.29	4	1
1:B:15:GLU:O	1:B:19:GLU:HG3	0.42	2.15	4	2
1:B:16:LYS:O	1:B:19:GLU:HG3	0.42	2.15	6	1
1:A:22:ALA:O	1:A:25:LYS:HG3	0.42	2.14	7	1
1:A:32:LEU:O	1:A:33:ALA:C	0.41	2.58	9	5
1:A:32:LEU:HB2	1:B:21:LEU:CD1	0.41	2.45	5	1
1:B:37:ARG:O	1:B:40:ARG:N	0.41	2.52	7	1
1:A:28:TYR:CE1	1:B:24:LEU:HB3	0.41	2.50	3	1
1:A:28:TYR:N	1:A:28:TYR:HD1	0.41	2.12	3	5
1:A:23:PHE:O	1:A:27:GLU:OE1	0.41	2.39	6	1
1:B:19:GLU:O	1:B:22:ALA:HB3	0.41	2.16	3	1
1:A:28:TYR:CZ	1:B:24:LEU:CB	0.41	3.03	10	1
1:B:19:GLU:OE1	1:B:19:GLU:HA	0.41	2.16	2	1
1:B:35:LEU:O	1:B:35:LEU:CD1	0.41	2.65	5	2



, ,	omtomorod	trom	previous	maaa
	011.1.111111.111		THETHINS	THEFT

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	om-1 Atom-2 Clash(A		Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:17:LEU:HB3	1:B:35:LEU:CD2	0.41	2.46	10	1
1:A:21:LEU:HG	1:B:28:TYR:OH	0.41	2.15	5	1
1:B:23:PHE:O	1:B:27:GLU:OE1	0.40	2.38	2	1
1:B:20:LYS:N	1:B:20:LYS:HD3	0.40	2.31	4	1
1:A:28:TYR:O	1:A:29:SER:C	0.40	2.59	10	1
1:B:13:GLU:OE1	1:B:13:GLU:HA	0.40	2.16	3	1
1:A:16:LYS:HA	1:A:19:GLU:OE2	0.40	2.16	8	1
1:A:17:LEU:HD12	1:A:17:LEU:HA	0.40	1.76	5	1
1:B:32:LEU:O	1:B:32:LEU:HD22	0.40	2.15	5	1
1:A:19:GLU:O	1:A:20:LYS:C	0.40	2.60	7	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	P	erce	ntiles
1	A	27/37 (73%)	24±1 (89±5%)	3±1 (11±5%)	0±0 (0±0%)	1	100	100
1	В	32/37~(86%)	28±2 (88±5%)	3±1 (11±5%)	0±1 (1±2%)		13	60
All	All	590/740 (80%)	521 (88%)	65 (11%)	4 (1%)		21	71

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	9	LEU	3
1	В	11	CYS	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	24/32 (75%)	13±2 (54±10%)	11±2 (46±10%)	0 2
1	В	$29/32 \ (91\%)$	15±2 (52±6%)	14±2 (48±6%)	0 1
All	All	530/640 (83%)	280 (53%)	250 (47%)	0 1

All 47 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	24	LEU	10
1	A	34	ARG	10
1	A	25	LYS	9
1	A	31	THR	9
1	В	31	THR	9
1	В	34	ARG	9
1	В	40	ARG	9
1	A	14	LYS	8
1	В	28	TYR	8
1	В	30	LYS	8
1	A	18	LYS	7
1	A	20	LYS	7
1	В	23	PHE	7
1	В	21	LEU	7
1	В	11	CYS	6
1	В	14	LYS	6
1	В	32	LEU	6
1	В	35	LEU	6
1	В	25	LYS	6
1	В	29	SER	6
1	A	40	ARG	6
1	A	23	PHE	5
1	В	26	ARG	5
1	В	36	GLN	5
1	В	39	GLN	5
1	A	26	ARG	5
1	A	32	LEU	5
1	A	36	GLN	5
1	В	10	SER	5
1	A	21	LEU	4
1	A	27	GLU	4
1	A	30	LYS	4
1	В	18	LYS	4
1	В	20	LYS	4



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	12	GLU	3
1	В	15	GLU	3
1	В	37	ARG	3
1	A	37	ARG	3
1	В	16	LYS	3
1	A	15	GLU	3
1	A	39	GLN	3
1	В	27	GLU	3
1	В	19	GLU	2
1	A	29	SER	2
1	В	9	LEU	1
1	A	16	LYS	1
1	A	19	GLU	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 39% for the well-defined parts and 42% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	451
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	451
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision}, ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	36	-0.10 ± 0.28	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	35	0.14 ± 0.24	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
¹³ C′	33	0.06 ± 0.30	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
^{15}N	31	-0.05 ± 0.44	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 39%, i.e. 369 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 950. 0 out of 11 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}{ m C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	139/305 (46%)	56/122 (46%)	56/122~(46%)	27/61 (44%)
Sidechain	222/607 (37%)	150/387 (39%)	72/180 (40%)	0/40 (0%)



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	8/38 (21%)	8/18 (44%)	0/20~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	369/950 (39%)	214/527 (41%)	128/322 (40%)	27/101 (27%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 42%, i.e. 451 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1080. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	169/358 (47%)	69/144 (48%)	69/144 (48%)	31/70 (44%)
Sidechain	274/684 (40%)	185/436 (42%)	89/206 (43%)	0/42 (0%)
Aromatic	8/38 (21%)	8/18 (44%)	0/20~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	451/1080 (42%)	$262/598 \ (44\%)$	158/370 (43%)	31/112 (28%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	736
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	230
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	166
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	144
Long range (i-j ≥5)	0
Inter-chain	96
Hydrogen bond restraints	100
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	120
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.6
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.3	0.19
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	0.7	3.67



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	C	% ¹	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tentl	${ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	230	31.2	1	0.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	64	8.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	138	18.8	1	0.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	28	3.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	166	22.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	116	15.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	50	6.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	144	19.6	2	1.4	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	90	12.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	52	7.1	2	3.8	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	96	13.0	3	3.1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	96	13.0	3	3.1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	100	13.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	736	100.0	6	0.8	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	270	36.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	340	46.2	3	0.9	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	126	17.1	3	2.4	0.4	0	0.0	0.0

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.54	0.54	0.0	0.54
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.19	0.19	0.0	0.19
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
9	1	0	1	0	0	2	0.36	0.62	0.26	0.36
10	0	0	2	0	3	5	1.69	3.67	1.11	0.95



 $^1{\rm Intra-residue}$ restraints, $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints, $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints, $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints, $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints, $^6{\rm Standard}$ deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 630(IR:229, SQ:166, MR:142, LR:0, IC:93) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$\mid IC^5 \mid$	Total	Count ⁶	%		
0	0	1	0	3	4	1	10.0		
1	0	0	0	0	1	2	20.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	30.0		




Continued from previous page...

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	0	1	0	0	1	4	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	${f Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,147)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:28:A:TYR:HD1	4	0.57	0.27	0.58
(1,143)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:25:A:LYS:HG2	2	0.11	0.0	0.11


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,576)	1:25:A:LYS:HB2	1:28:B:TYR:HD1	10	3.67
(1,576)	1:25:A:LYS:HB3	1:28:B:TYR:HD1	10	3.67
(1,561)	1:21:A:LEU:HG	1:28:B:TYR:HD1	10	2.17
(1,417)	1:25:B:LYS:HA	1:28:B:TYR:HD1	10	0.95
(1,147)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:28:A:TYR:HD1	10	0.94
(1,566)	1:21:A:LEU:HD11	1:28:B:TYR:HE1	10	0.72
(1,566)	1:21:A:LEU:HD12	1:28:B:TYR:HE1	10	0.72
(1,566)	1:21:A:LEU:HD13	1:28:B:TYR:HE1	10	0.72
(1,147)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:28:A:TYR:HD1	9	0.62
(1,147)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:28:A:TYR:HD1	3	0.54
(1,147)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:28:A:TYR:HD1	6	0.19
(1,143)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:25:A:LYS:HG2	8	0.11
(1,143)	1:25:A:LYS:HA	1:25:A:LYS:HG2	9	0.11



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tent	${f y}$ Violated 4
	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	60	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	60	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	120	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories



10.2	Dihedral-angle	violation	statistics	for	each i	model	i
10.4	Dincular-angle	VIOIGUIOII	SUGUISUICS	101	Cacii .	mouci	

No violations found

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

2010 Billioural angle violation statistics for the embernate

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

No violations found

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

No violations found

