

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Apr 16, 2025 – 10:14 AM EDT

PDB ID : 8V4B / pdb 00008v4b

BMRB ID : 52188

Title : NMR structure of a synthetic analogue of Ramoplanin A2 Authors : Swarbrick, J.D.; Marschall, E.A.; Cryle, M.J.; Tailhades, J.

Deposited on : 2023-11-28

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467

Mogul : 2022.3.0, CSD as543be (2022)

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.42

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 78%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	
Clashscore	210492	14027	
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486	
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	17	94%	6%		



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models.

Cyrange was unable to find well-defined residues.

Error message: The number of core atoms (4) was below the domain threshold value (8).

NmrClust was unable to cluster the ensemble.

Error message: Wrapper check: not enough residues in core to run NmrClust




# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 283 atoms, of which 131 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Ramoplanin A2 synthetic analogue.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	17	Total	С	Н	N	О	0
1	A	17	265	97	120	21	27	0

• Molecule 2 is HEXANOIC ACID (CCD ID: 6NA) (formula:  $C_6H_{12}O_2$ ).



Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			
9	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	О
2	А	1	18	6	11	1



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Ramoplanin A2 synthetic analogue

Chain A: 94% 6%



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Ramoplanin A2 synthetic analogue

Chain A:

There are no outlier residues in this chain.



#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: target function.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	refinement	3.97
CYANA	structure calculation	3.97

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	3
Total number of shifts	433
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	392
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	41
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	78%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: 6NA, ORD, GHP, D4P, DTH, DPP, DAL

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

# 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	145	120	113	0±0
2	A	7	11	11	0±0
All	All	3040	2620	2498	4

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	n-1 Atom-2		Distance	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	$Clash(A) \mid Distance(A) \mid$		Total
1:A:17:DAL:HB3	2:A:101:6NA:H6C3	0.54	1.77	2	1
1:A:17:DAL:HB3	2:A:101:6NA:H6C2	0.52	1.82	13	1
2:A:101:6NA:H6C2	2:A:101:6NA:O	0.44	2.12	17	1
1:A:17:DAL:O	2:A:101:6NA:H6C3	0.41	2.16	7	1



## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Analysed Favoured Allowed Ou		Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	4/17~(24%)	4±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
All	All	80/340 (24%)	80 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	100 100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Chain Analysed Rotameric Outliers		Outliers	Perc	entiles
1	A	4/4 (100%)	3±0 (84±12%)	1±0 (16±12%)	4	39
All	All	80/80 (100%)	67 (84%)	13 (16%)	4	39

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	2	ASN	13

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

# 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

12 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types,



if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Trunc	Chain	Res	Link		Bond lengths		
MIOI	Type	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2	
1	D4P	A	7	1	10,11,12	$1.06 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	GHP	A	8	1	10,11,12	$1.06 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	ORD	A	5	1	6,7,8	$0.48 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	D4P	A	14	1	10,11,12	$1.05 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	D4P	A	12	1	10,11,12	$1.06 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	D4P	A	18	1	10,11,12	$1.05\pm0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	GHP	A	4	1	10,11,12	$1.06 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	ORD	A	11	1	6,7,8	$0.48 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)	
1	DPP	A	3	1	4,5,6	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)	

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Trme	Chain	Res	Link		cles	
IVIOI	Type	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	D4P	A	7	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	GHP	A	8	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	ORD	A	5	1	2,7,9	$0.23 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	D4P	A	14	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	D4P	A	12	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	D4P	A	18	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	GHP	A	4	1	11,14,16	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	ORD	A	11	1	2,7,9	$0.23 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)
1	DPP	A	3	1	1,5,7	$0.33 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	ORD	A	11	1	-	$0\pm0,5,6,8$	-
1	D4P	A	18	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$



Continued from previous page.					
	C'	ontinued	from	previous	page

Mol	Type	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	DPP	A	3	1	-	$0\pm0,2,4,6$	-
1	D4P	A	14	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$
1	GHP	A	8	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$
1	D4P	A	12	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$
1	GHP	A	4	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$
1	ORD	A	5	1	-	$0\pm0,5,6,8$	-
1	D4P	A	7	1	-	$0\pm0,4,6,8$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

# 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

# 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Type	Chain	Dog	Link		gths				
IVIOI	туре	pe Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	11				
2	6NA	A	101	1	5,6,7	$0.34 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)			

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of



the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Chain	Pog	Link		Bond ang	gles
MIOI	туре	Chain	rtes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	6NA	A	101	1	4,5,7	$0.42 \pm 0.00$	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	6NA	A	101	1	-	$0\pm0,4,4,5$	-

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 78% for the well-defined parts and 78% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chemical\_shifts\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	193
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	176
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	17
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 17) occurrences are reported below.

T:-4 ID	Cl :	D	<b>T</b>	A 4		Shift Data		
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
1	A	1	6NA	H6C1	0.818	0.006	1	
1	A	1	6NA	H6C2	0.818	0.006	1	
1	A	1	6NA	H6C3	0.818	0.006	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HAC1	2.102	0.006	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HAC2	2.102	0.006	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HBC1	1.452	0.008	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HBC2	1.452	0.008	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HDC1	1.206	0.007	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HDC2	1.206	0.007	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HGC1	1.161	0.004	1	
1	A	1	6NA	HGC2	1.161	0.004	1	
1	A	1	6NA	C6	15.769	0.000	1	
1	A	1	6NA	CA	37.668	0.000	1	
1	A	1	6NA	СВ	27.084	0.000	1	



Continued from previous page...

Ligt ID	Chain	Peg	Trme	Atom	Shift Data			
List ID	Chain	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
1	A	1	6NA	CD	23.983	0.000	1	
1	A	1	6NA	CG	32.842	0.000	1	
1	A	2	ASN	Н	7.972	0.003	1	

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 77%, i.e. 50 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 65. 0 out of 1 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	14/26 (54%)	11/11 (100%)	3/10 (30%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	26/29 (90%)	18/19 (95%)	8/9 (89%)	0/1 (0%)
Aromatic	10/10 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	50/65 (77%)	34/35~(97%)	16/24 (67%)	0/6 (0%)

## 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





#### 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chemical\_shifts\_2

#### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	119
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	107
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	12
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 12) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom		Shift Dat	a
LIST ID	Chain	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
2	A	1	6NA	H6C1	0.812	0.006	1
2	A	1	6NA	H6C2	0.812	0.006	1
2	A	1	6NA	H6C3	0.812	0.006	1
2	A	1	6NA	HAC1	2.097	0.005	1
2	A	1	6NA	HAC2	2.097	0.005	1
2	A	1	6NA	HBC1	1.443	0.007	1
2	A	1	6NA	HBC2	1.443	0.007	1



Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Dec	Type		Shift Data			
LIST ID	Chain	rtes	туре		Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
2	A	1	6NA	HDC1	1.2	0.007	1	
2	A	1	6NA	HDC2	1.2	0.007	1	
2	A	1	6NA	HGC1	1.155	0.004	1	
2	A	1	6NA	HGC2	1.155	0.004	1	
2	A	2	ASN	Н	8.08	0.000	1	

#### 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 52%, i.e. 34 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 65. 0 out of 1 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	11/26 (42%)	11/11 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	18/29 (62%)	18/19 (95%)	0/9 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Aromatic	5/10 (50%)	5/5 (100%)	0/5 (0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	34/65 (52%)	34/35~(97%)	0/24 (0%)	0/6 (0%)

## 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

# 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





#### 7.3 Chemical shift list 3

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chemical\_shifts\_3

#### 7.3.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	121
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	109
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	12
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 12) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data			
LIST ID	Chain	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
3	A	1	6NA	H6C1	0.801	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	H6C2	0.801	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	H6C3	0.801	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HAC1	2.107	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HAC2	2.107	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HBC1	1.446	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HBC2	1.446	0.000	1	



Continued from previous page...

Ligt ID	Chain	Ros	Type	Atom	Shift Data			
List ID	Chain	nes	Туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
3	A	1	6NA	HDC1	1.184	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HDC2	1.184	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HGC1	1.154	0.000	1	
3	A	1	6NA	HGC2	1.154	0.000	1	
3	A	2	ASN	Н	8.155	0.003	1	

#### 7.3.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.3.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 54%, i.e. 35 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 65. 0 out of 1 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	11/26 (42%)	11/11 (100%)	0/10 (0%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	19/29 (66%)	19/19 (100%)	0/9 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Aromatic	5/10 (50%)	5/5 (100%)	0/5 (0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	35/65 (54%)	35/35 (100%)	0/24 (0%)	0/6 (0%)

## 7.3.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

# 7.3.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	338
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	50
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	120
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	41
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	119
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	8
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	14
Number of restraints per residue	18.8
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	6.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

## 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	3.5	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	3.6	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	28.6	3.46



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Doctroints type	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vi					$\overline{ m Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\frac{1}{\%}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	50	14.8	7	14.0	2.1	4	8.0	1.2
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	45	13.3	7	15.6	2.1	4	8.9	1.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	5	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	120	35.5	13	10.8	3.8	12	10.0	3.6
Backbone-Backbone	24	7.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	72	21.3	6	8.3	1.8	5	6.9	1.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	24	7.1	7	29.2	2.1	7	29.2	2.1
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	41	12.1	8	19.5	2.4	5	12.2	1.5
Backbone-Backbone	9	2.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	31	9.2	8	25.8	2.4	5	16.1	1.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	119	35.2	12	10.1	3.6	10	8.4	3.0
Backbone-Backbone	24	7.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	61	18.0	7	11.5	2.1	7	11.5	2.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	34	10.1	5	14.7	1.5	3	8.8	0.9
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	8	2.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	338	100.0	40	11.8	11.8	31	9.2	9.2
Backbone-Backbone	57	16.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	215	63.6	28	13.0	8.3	21	9.8	6.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	66	19.5	12	18.2	3.6	10	15.2	3.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	<u> </u>	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	4	13	8	11	0	36	1.28	3.03	0.76	1.18
2	4	12	8	11	0	35	1.4	3.25	0.8	1.22
3	4	13	8	11	0	36	1.26	2.6	0.73	1.18
4	4	12	8	11	0	35	1.26	2.59	0.7	1.19
5	4	12	8	11	0	35	1.21	3.13	0.71	1.06
6	5	12	5	11	0	33	1.09	2.58	0.71	0.97
7	5	13	7	10	0	35	1.11	3.44	0.81	0.93
8	5	13	8	10	0	36	1.3	3.45	0.84	1.23
9	5	12	8	11	0	36	1.22	3.23	0.8	0.98
10	5	13	7	10	0	35	1.12	3.46	0.76	0.93




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
11	5	13	8	11	0	37	1.22	2.8	0.78	1.13
12	5	12	8	11	0	36	1.22	3.34	0.78	1.04
13	5	12	8	12	0	37	1.27	3.35	0.84	1.15
14	5	13	8	11	0	37	1.31	3.25	0.8	1.19
15	5	13	7	10	0	35	1.11	3.39	0.81	0.92
16	5	12	8	12	0	37	1.27	3.33	0.85	1.18
17	6	12	8	11	0	37	1.08	2.5	0.71	0.96
18	7	12	8	10	0	37	1.16	2.98	0.77	1.05
19	5	12	6	11	0	34	1.13	3.38	0.82	0.96
20	5	12	8	11	0	36	1.31	2.82	0.76	1.19

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 290(IR:43, SQ:107, MR:33, LR:107, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble			
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0
1	0	0	1	0	2	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
1	0	1	1	0	3	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	18	90.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	19	95.0
4	12	5	10	0	31	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

# 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG21	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG22	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG22	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG21	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	3.04	0.41	3.24
(1,189)	1:8:A:GHP:H	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	2.56	0.14	2.58
(1,189)	1:8:A:GHP:H	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	2.56	0.14	2.58
(1,155)	1:6:A:DTH:HA	1:7:A:D4P:H5	20	2.5	0.01	2.5
(1,291)	1:15:A:GLY:HA2	1:18:A:D4P:H4	20	2.31	0.57	2.46
(1,162)	1:6:A:DTH:HB	1:7:A:D4P:H5	20	2.18	0.29	2.25
(1,162)	1:6:A:DTH:HB	1:7:A:D4P:H4	20	2.18	0.29	2.25
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG23	1:14:A:D4P:H5	20	2.04	0.24	2.02
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG22	1:14:A:D4P:H5	20	2.04	0.24	2.02
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG23	1:14:A:D4P:H4	20	2.04	0.24	2.02
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG21	1:14:A:D4P:H5	20	2.04	0.24	2.02



I 'omtamalod	trom	mmonia	maaa
Continued	-11011b	DICUIUUS	Daue
	.,	10	1


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathrm{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG21	1:14:A:D4P:H4	20	2.04	0.24	2.02
(1,269)	1:13:A:DTH:HG22	1:14:A:D4P:H4	20	2.04	0.24	2.02
(1,176)	1:7:A:D4P:HA	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	1.92	0.06	1.92
(1,176)	1:7:A:D4P:HA	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	1.92	0.06	1.92
(1,157)	1:6:A:DTH:HB	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	1.89	0.14	1.96
(1,157)	1:6:A:DTH:HB	1:12:A:D4P:H4	20	1.89	0.14	1.96
(1,252)	1:11:A:ORD:HG3	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	1.74	0.39	1.86
(1,252)	1:11:A:ORD:HG2	1:12:A:D4P:H5	20	1.74	0.39	1.86
(1,288)	1:15:A:GLY:H	1:18:A:D4P:H4	20	1.7	0.51	1.84

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same



key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG21	1:12:A:D4P:H5	10	3.46
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H5	8	3.45
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG22	1:12:A:D4P:H5	7	3.44
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG22	1:12:A:D4P:H5	15	3.39
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H4	19	3.38
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG21	1:12:A:D4P:H4	13	3.35
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H5	12	3.34
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG21	1:12:A:D4P:H4	16	3.33
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG22	1:12:A:D4P:H4	2	3.25
(1,164)	1:6:A:DTH:HG23	1:12:A:D4P:H4	14	3.25



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

