

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Feb 18, 2025 – 09:02 am GMT

PDB ID : 8S2B BMRB ID : 34902

Title : NMR structure of putisolvin I in micellar DPC solution

Authors: Kovacs, B.; Geudens, N.; Martins, J.C.

Deposited on : 2024-02-16

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.4, CSD as541be (2020)

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.41

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 84%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Molprobity failed to run



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 11 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.




# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 209 atoms, of which 112 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein (with D amino acids) called Putisolvin I.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	19	Total	С	Н	N	О	0
1	1   A	A   12	191	59	101	13	18	0

• Molecule 2 is HEXANOIC ACID (three-letter code: 6NA) (formula: C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).



Mol	Chain	Residues	A	Ator	ns	
2	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	О
2	A	1	18	6	11	1



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.



#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: molecular dynamics.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 11 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	
CNS	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	146
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	146
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	84%



# 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: DGN, DSN, DLE, 6NA, DVA, DGL

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	90	101	96	2±1
2	A	7	11	11	0±0
All	All	1067	1232	1177	19

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 8.

5 of 14 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:6:DGN:HA	1:A:9:DLE:HD22	0.65	1.68	4	5
1:A:4:DLE:O	1:A:8:DVA:HG13	0.64	1.93	11	2
1:A:4:DLE:HD22	2:A:101:6NA:O	0.55	2.00	7	1
1:A:4:DLE:C	1:A:5:LEU:HD12	0.53	2.33	8	1
1:A:4:DLE:CB	1:A:5:LEU:HD12	0.50	2.36	8	1



### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

7 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.



7	Mol.	Type	Chain	Pos	Link	Bond lengths			
MIOI	туре	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2		
	2	6NA	A	101	1	6,6,7	$0.48 \pm 0.14$	0±0 (0±0%)	

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mal	Type	Chain	Pog	Link	Bond angles Counts   RMSZ   #Z>2		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	6NA	A	101	1	5,5,7	$0.85 \pm 0.06$	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	6NA	A	101	1	-	$0\pm0,3,4,5$	-

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 84% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: /home/hdgsgsg/Desktop/putisolvinI/putisolvin\_nmr

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	146
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	146
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 65 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 77. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	13/25 (52%)	9/10 (90%)	4/10 (40%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	52/52 (100%)	36/36 (100%)	16/16 (100%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	65/77 (84%)	45/46 (98%)	20/26~(77%)	0/5 (0%)

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.



#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	132
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	61
Sequential $( i-j =1)$	40
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	24
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	2
Inter-chain	5
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	5
Number of restraints per residue	10.2
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

## 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	3.2	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	5.2	0.47
>0.5 (Large)	6.5	1.47



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Doodynointa toma	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	${f Violated}^3$			Consistently Violate		
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	61	46.2	18	29.5	13.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	10	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	46	34.8	16	34.8	12.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	5	3.8	2	40.0	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	40	30.3	12	30.0	9.1	1	2.5	0.8
Backbone-Backbone	21	15.9	2	9.5	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	18	13.6	9	50.0	6.8	1	5.6	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	1	0.8	1	100.0	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	24	18.2	10	41.7	7.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	13	9.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	11	8.3	10	90.9	7.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	5	3.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	3	2.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	132	100.0	40	30.3	30.3	1	0.8	0.8
Backbone-Backbone	46	34.8	2	4.3	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	77	58.3	35	45.5	26.5	1	1.3	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	6.8	3	33.3	2.3	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	10	5	5	0	0	20	0.47	1.42	0.4	0.32
2	7	4	5	0	0	16	0.56	1.47	0.44	0.34
3	6	4	4	0	0	14	0.52	1.22	0.36	0.37
4	7	5	4	0	0	16	0.56	1.29	0.36	0.53
5	4	5	3	0	0	12	0.55	1.15	0.35	0.44
6	4	4	4	0	0	12	0.49	1.08	0.35	0.34
7	6	3	4	0	0	13	0.58	1.44	0.48	0.34
8	7	5	4	0	0	16	0.67	1.47	0.41	0.58
9	7	6	4	0	0	17	0.61	1.13	0.34	0.55
10	7	4	5	0	0	16	0.4	0.98	0.24	0.33

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations					3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	CD6 ( )	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
11	5	5	3	0	0	13	0.66	1.47	0.44	0.43

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 92(IR:43, SQ:28, MR:14, LR:2, IC:5) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.




Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
8	5	4	0	0	17	1	9.1
1	2	1	0	0	4	2	18.2
0	0	1	0	0	1	3	27.3
3	1	0	0	0	4	4	36.4
1	0	0	0	0	1	5	45.5
0	1	0	0	0	1	6	54.5
1	0	0	0	0	1	7	63.6
2	0	2	0	0	4	8	72.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	81.8
2	2	2	0	0	6	10	90.9
0	1	0	0	0	1	11	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations

#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)








#### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$Models^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,80)	1:12:A:VAL:H	1:11:A:LEU:HB2	11	0.33	0.04	0.34
(1,107)	1:6:A:DGN:H	1:2:A:LEU:HB2	10	1.03	0.2	1.06
(1,113)	1:9:A:DLE:HA	1:9:A:DLE:HD11	10	1.0	0.44	1.16
(1,115)	1:2:A:LEU:H1	1:2:A:LEU:HG	10	0.93	0.13	0.89
(1,61)	1:13:A:SER:H	1:12:A:VAL:HG11	10	0.85	0.28	0.9
(1,98)	1:5:A:LEU:HA	1:2:A:LEU:HB2	10	0.6	0.19	0.62
(1,53)	1:4:A:DLE:H	1:5:A:LEU:HA	10	0.15	0.01	0.15
(1,124)	1:2:A:LEU:H1	1:2:A:LEU:HD11	8	0.99	0.39	1.02
(1,119)	1:5:A:LEU:HA	1:2:A:LEU:HB2	8	0.35	0.16	0.35

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,72)	1:8:A:DVA:H	1:5:A:LEU:HB2	8	0.19	0.09	0.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

	$\mathbf{Key}$	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
	(1,129)	1:3:A:DGL:HA	1:6:A:DGN:HB3	2	1.47
Ī	(1,124)	1:2:A:LEU:H1	1:2:A:LEU:HD11	11	1.47
	(1,113)	1:9:A:DLE:HA	1:9:A:DLE:HD11	8	1.47
	(1,113)	1:9:A:DLE:HA	1:9:A:DLE:HD11	7	1.44

Continued on next page...



#### Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,113)	1:9:A:DLE:HA	1:9:A:DLE:HD11	1	1.42
(1,104)	1:5:A:LEU:HA	1:5:A:LEU:HD21	8	1.41
(1,124)	1:2:A:LEU:H1	1:2:A:LEU:HD11	1	1.39
(1,113)	1:9:A:DLE:HA	1:9:A:DLE:HD11	2	1.36
(1,115)	1:2:A:LEU:H1	1:2:A:LEU:HG	11	1.31
(1,107)	1:6:A:DGN:H	1:2:A:LEU:HB2	4	1.29



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

