WORLDWIDE PDB PROTEIN DATA BANK

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

May 7, 2025 – 08:36 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	9NVH / pdb_00009nvh
BMRB ID	:	31235
Title	:	NMR structure of conotoxin k-SrXIA
Authors	:	Melchor-Meneses, C.M.; del Rio-Portilla, F.
Deposited on	:	2025-03-20

The following versions of software and data (see references ⓘ) were used in the production of this report:

|		
MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.0rc1
Percentile statistics	:	20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.43.1

1 Overall quality at a glance ⓘ

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 46%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percentile Ranks	Value
Clashscore		1
Ramachandran outliers		2.2%
Sidechain outliers		17.8%

Worse   *Better*

▮ Percentile relative to all structures
▯ Percentile relative to all NMR structures

Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	35	54% 14% • 29%

2   Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 20 models. Model 17 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy.*

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:4-A:28 (25)	0.39	17

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 6, 8, 9, 12, 18
2	3, 7, 11, 15, 19, 20
3	2, 10, 13, 16, 17
4	5, 14
Single-model clusters	4

WORLDWIDE
wwPDB
PROTEIN DATA BANK

3   Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 483 atoms, of which 222 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Kappa-conotoxin SrXIA.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	35	483	148	222	51	53	9	0

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P0C615
A	2	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P0C615
A	3	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P0C615

4 Residue-property plots ⓘ

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Kappa-conotoxin SrXIA

Chain A:

4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 17. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Kappa-conotoxin SrXIA

Chain A:

5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview ⓘ

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics.*

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	
CYANA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	186
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	186
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	46%

6 Model quality ⓘ

6.1 Standard geometry ⓘ

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.89±0.03	0±0/197 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.53±0.04	2±1/262 (0.7± 0.4%)
All	All	0.89	0/3940 (0.0%)	1.53	35/5240 (0.7%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.2
All	All	0	1

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(^{o})	Ideal(^{o})	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	14	ASN	CA-CB-CG	8.09	120.69	112.60	9	20
1	A	13	GLU	CA-C-N	5.97	129.10	120.38	3	7
1	A	13	GLU	C-N-CA	5.97	129.10	120.38	3	7
1	A	22	CYS	N-CA-C	5.12	117.77	110.24	6	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	20	ARG	Sidechain	1

6.2 Too-close contacts ⓘ

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	195	161	161	0±1
All	All	3900	3220	3220	8

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models Worst	Models Total
1:A:12:GLU:H	1:A:16:GLN:NE2	0.46	2.08	6	5
1:A:11:CYS:SG	1:A:17:CYS:SG	0.41	3.19	13	2
1:A:6:THR:HG22	1:A:7:GLU:H	0.41	1.76	7	1

6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	25/35 (71%)	23±1 (93±4%)	1±1 (5±4%)	1±0 (2±2%)	8	47
All	All	500/700 (71%)	464 (93%)	25 (5%)	11 (2%)	8	47

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	25	GLY	11

W O R L D W I D E
wwPDB
PROTEIN DATA BANK

6.3.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	23/29 (79%)	19±2 (82±7%)	4±2 (18±7%)	3	36
All	All	460/580 (79%)	378 (82%)	82 (18%)	3	36

5 of 12 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	18	CYS	19
1	A	23	CYS	16
1	A	6	THR	11
1	A	14	ASN	11
1	A	20	ARG	7

6.3.3 RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry ⓘ

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers ⓘ

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation ⓘ

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 46% for the well-defined parts and 45% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *starch_output*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping ⓘ

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	186
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	186
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing ⓘ

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments ⓘ

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 137 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 301. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

|	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	52/127 (41%)	52/52 (100%)	0/50 (0%)	0/25 (0%)
Sidechain	82/162 (51%)	82/100 (82%)	0/50 (0%)	0/12 (0%)
Aromatic	3/12 (25%)	3/6 (50%)	0/5 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	137/301 (46%)	137/158 (87%)	0/105 (0%)	0/38 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

8 NMR restraints analysis ⓘ

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	0
Intra-residue ($\|i\text{-}j\|$=0)	0
Sequential ($\|i\text{-}j\|$=1)	0
Medium range ($\|i\text{-}j\|$>1 and $\|i\text{-}j\|$<5)	0
Long range ($\|i\text{-}j\|$≥5)	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	97
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	0
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	0

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations ⓘ

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model ⓘ

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the calculation.There are no distance restraints

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model ⓘ

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.1	1.39

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	0.1	22.38

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

No distance restraints data found

10   Dihedral-angle violation analysis ⓘ

## 10.1   Summary of dihedral-angle violations ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
CHI2	12	12.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	27	27.8	2	7.4	2.1	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	27	27.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI3	4	4.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	27	27.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	97	100.0	2	2.1	2.1	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1   Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations ⓘ


Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI3	CHI1	Total				
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	1	0	0	0	1	22.38	22.38	0.0	22.38
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.39	1.39	0.0	1.39
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

10.2.1   Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3   Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI3	CHI1	Total	Count[1]	%
0	2	0	0	0	2	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI3	CHI1	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

No violations found

10.5   All violated dihedral-angle restraints ⓘ

10.5.1   Histogram : Distribution of violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.
Data insufficient to plot histogram

10.5.2   Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints ⓘ

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,48)	1:28:A:GLU:N	1:28:A:GLU:CA	1:28:A:GLU:C	1:29:A:ALA:N	4	22.38
(1,16)	1:11:A:CYS:N	1:11:A:CYS:CA	1:11:A:CYS:C	1:12:A:GLU:N	11	1.39